

# EFFECT OF TYPE AND DIETARY FAT INCLUSION ON GROWING RABBIT PERFORMANCE AND NUTRIENT RETENTION FROM 34 TO 63 DAYS OF AGE

Saiz A.<sup>1\*</sup>, Nicodemus N.<sup>2</sup>, Marco M.<sup>3</sup>, Terreros E.<sup>3</sup>, García-Ruiz A.I.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Trouw Nutrition R&D Poultry Research Centre, 45950, Casarrubios del Monte, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Producción Agraria, E.T.S.I. Agrónomos, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28040, Madrid, Spain

<sup>3</sup>NANTA S.A., 28760, Tres Cantos, Spain

\* Corresponding author: [a.saiz.b@trouwnutrition.com](mailto:a.saiz.b@trouwnutrition.com)

## ABSTRACT

The study was conducted to investigate the effect of the fat addition and source, on performance, mortality, nutrient retention, and the whole body and carcass chemical composition of growing rabbits from 34 to 63 d. Three diets were arranged in a 3 x 2 factorial structure with the source of fat: Soybean oil (SBO), Soya Lecithin Oil (SLO) and Lard (L) and the dietary fat inclusion level (1.5 and 4%) as the main factors. Sixty animals were allocated in individual cages (n=10) and 600 in collective cages, in groups of 5 animals (n=20). Animals fed with 4% dietary fat level showed lower daily feed intake (DFI) and feed conversion rate (FCR) than those fed diets with 1.5%. In collective, DFI was a 4.8% higher in animals fed with lard than diets containing SBO (P = 0.036), being intermediate for diet SLO. Inclusion of lard also tended to reduce mortality (P = 0.067) around 60% and 25% with respect SBO and SLO diets, respectively. Mortality increased with the greatest level of soya lecithin (14% vs 1%, P < 0.01). Fat source and level did not affect the whole body or carcass chemical composition. An increase of the fat sources level led to a decrease of the digestible nitrogen intake (DNi) (1.69 vs 1.58 g/d; P = 0.009). As the nitrogen retained (NR) in the carcass was similar for both levels (0.708 g/d), the overall nitrogen retention efficiency (NRE) increased with the highest level of fat (41.1 vs 45.7%; P < 0.01). As a consequence, nitrogen excretion in faeces was lower in animals fed with the highest level of fat (0.901 vs 0.967 g/d; P = 0.0128), as observed as nitrogen excreted as urine (0.403 vs 0.538 g/d; P < 0.0001). Although there were not differences in energy retention efficiency (ERE) energy excreted in faeces decreased when fat level increased (118 vs 139 Kcal/d; P < 0.0001). Energy excreted as urine and heat production was significantly higher in animals fed with the highest level of dietary fat (195 vs 184 Kcal/d; P < 0.0153). It can be concluded that lard can be considered as alternative source to soybean oil due to the reduction of the mortality, without negative effects on performances or nutrient retention. An increase of the dietary fat level improves FCR and overall nitrogen retention efficiency.

**Key words:** fat source, fat level, rabbit performance, body, carcass, nutrient retention, BIA

## INTRODUCTION

Fat addition in rabbit diets increases digestible energy and improves feed efficiency (Partridge et al., 1986; Santomá et al., 1987; Maertens, 1998). When fat addition is low or moderate (2-6%), a moderate reduction of feed intake is produced and nutrient digestibility and feed efficiency may be improved (Santomá et al., 1987; Xicatto et al., 1998). The effect of fat source and their inclusion level on nutrient retention is related to their digestibility that also depends on the fatty acid profile, as the digestibility increase when the level of unsaturated fatty acids is greater (Maertens et al., 1998; Santomá et al., 1987; Xicatto, 2010). In previous works it have been observed an increase on the nitrogen retention efficiency by increasing fat addition (Parigi-Bini et al., 1974) or increasing  $\omega$ -3 fatty acids inclusion (Delgado et al., 2015), without differences on carcass nitrogen retention. Moreover, fatter carcasses are also found when increasing dietary fat content (Partridge et al., 1986; Fernández and Fraga, 1996b). On the other hand, it is known that short chain fatty acids, like caprylic and capric acids, have a positive effect on rabbit gut health. Skrivanová et al. (2006) found that mortality was reduced in rabbits fed 1% of caprylic and capric acids compared with the control group (15.7 vs 27.8%). Likewise, some fatty acids like omega-3 type fatty acids seem to be involved in the immune response development (Calder, 2007 and 2008). In this sense, Maertens et al. (2005) reported an

increase on post-weaning viability for young rabbits fed a diet with a high  $\omega$ -3/ $\omega$ -6 ratio. This type of fatty acids could lead to a well-balanced digestive maturation and develop a better immune system to prevent enteropathy incidence, limiting the use of antibiotics (Carabaño et al., 2008). The aim of this study was to determine the effect of the fat level and source, on performance, mortality, nutrient retention and carcass composition of animals from 34 to 63 days of age.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out at the Poultry Research Centre of Trow Nutrition, of Casarrubios Del Monte (Spain) in collaboration with the Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (Spain). Six hundred sixty New Zealand x Californian rabbits were used. Animals were weaned at 34 d of age. Sixty rabbits were housed individually in flat-deck cages measuring 250 x 440 x 300 mm in the Individual Fattening Unit. Animals were blocked per litter, assigning one animal of each litter in each treatment. Other 600 animals were allocated in the polyvalent cages in the Collective Fattening unit groups of 5 animals per cage, ensuring that 2 animals of the same litter were not allocated in the same cage. Animals were fed until 63 d of age and were kept under controlled environmental conditions (room temperature between 16 and 24°C, with a light: dark cycle of 16-8 h). Three diets were arranged in a 3 x 2 factorial structure with the type of fat source: Soybean oil (SBO), Soya Lecithin Oil (SLO) and Lard (L) and the dietary fat inclusion level (1.5 and 4%) as the main factors. All diets were formulated to meet or exceed the nutrient requirements all the essential nutrient requirements according to the recommendations of CVB (2007). Diets were analysed for DM, CP, Ash, fat, NDF, ADF, ADL and GE content The DE and DN of the diets were estimated by using the digestibility coefficient of each raw material described by Maertens et al. (2002).

**Table 1:** Ingredients and chemical composition of the diets.

Source	Soybean Oil		Soya Lecithins Oil		Lard	
Inclusion level, %	1.5	4.0	1.5	4.0	1.5	4.0
<b>Ingredients composition, %</b>						
Wheat bran	30.0	29.3	30.0	29.3	30.0	29.3
Barley	20.4	19.9	20.4	19.9	20.4	19.9
Sunflower meal	10.6	10.4	10.6	10.3	10.6	10.3
Alfalfa	13.0	12.7	13.0	12.7	13.0	12.7
Wheat straw	5.00	4.90	5.00	4.90	5.00	4.90
Sugar beet pulp	15.0	14.6	15.0	14.6	15.0	14.6
Soybean oil	1.50	4.00	1.05	2.80	-	-
Soybean lecithin oil	-	-	0.45	1.20	-	-
Lard	-	-	-	-	1.50	4.00
Vitamin and Mineral Premix <sup>1</sup>	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Salt	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1.23	1.20	1.23	1.20	1.23	1.20
Sepiolita	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
L-Lysine	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.20
L-Threonine	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.10
<b>Analysed chemical composition, %</b>						
Dry Matter	89.9	90.5	90.6	90.6	90.6	90.8
Crude Protein	14.2	13.7	14.3	13.8	14.2	13.7
Neutral Detergent Fiber	34.6	34.7	35.6	34.8	34.9	34.6
Acid Detergent Fiber	18.5	18.4	18.3	18.3	19.1	18.4
Acid Detergent Lignin	4.15	4.07	3.96	4.40	4.68	4.36
Starch enzymatic	15.6	14.3	15.4	13.6	13.8	14.3
Fat	3.55	5.85	3.50	5.40	3.50	5.85
Ash	8.87	8.76	9.20	9.06	9.32	9.00
Gross Energy, Kcal/kg	3346	3360	3339	3374	3319	3421
Digestible Energy, Kcal/kg <sup>2</sup>	2463	2677	2453	2649	2455	2655
Digestible Nitrogen <sup>2</sup>	1.50	1.39	1.50	1.39	1.50	1.39

<sup>1</sup> Provided by Trow Nutrition España. (Tres Cantos, Spain). Mineral and vitamin composition (per kg of complete diet): 240 mg of S; 240 mg of Mg as MgO; 20 mg of Mn as MnO; 75 mg of Zn as ZnO; 180 mg of Cu as CuSO<sub>4</sub>.5H<sub>2</sub>O; 1.1 mg of I as KI; 0.5 mg of Co as CoCO<sub>3</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O; 0.06 mg of Se as SeO<sub>2</sub>; 7.8 mg of Fe as FeCO<sub>3</sub>; 12000 UI of Vitamin A; 10800 UI of Vitamin D<sub>3</sub>; 45 mg of Vitamin E dl-alfa-tocopherol acetate; 1.2 mg of Vitamin K; 2 mg of Vitamin B<sub>1</sub>; 60 mg of Vitamin B<sub>2</sub>; 2 mg of Vitamin B<sub>6</sub>; 10 mg of Vitamin B<sub>12</sub>; 40 mg of Niacine; 20 mg of calcium pantothenate; 18.4 mg of pantothenic acid; 5 mg of folic acid; 75 mcg of biotine; 260 mg of choline chloride; 10 mg of Diclazuril 0.5g/100g (Clinacox 0.5% Premix®); 0.12 mg of Butohidroxianisol; 13.2 mg of Butohidroxitolueno; 38.4 mg of etoquine.

<sup>2</sup> Estimated by using the digestibility coefficients of Maertens et al. (2002).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Performance and mortality results of rabbits collectively housed from 34 to 63 d of age are shown in Table 2. Rabbits fed with soybean oil showed significantly lower DFI ( $P = 0.036$ ) and tended to have higher mortality rate ( $P = 0.067$ ) than rabbits fed the diet containing lard. These results disagree with Casado et al., (2013) that observed an increase on the mortality rate of rabbits fed a diet with 3% lard addition (45%) regarding diets supplemented with vegetable oils (linseed (34%) or sunflower oil (37%)). Rabbits fed the diet with lecithin (SLO) showed intermediate values for both parameters, although rabbits fed with this source had the highest and lowest mortality rate (14.0 vs. 1.01%) for diets containing 4 and 1.5% of fat, respectively).

Rabbits fed the lowest fat level (1.5%) showed a 6.0, and 2.97% higher DFI and FCR respectively, than rabbits fed the highest level (4.0%), although BW at 63 d and DWG tended to be respectively 2.1 and 3.4% higher with the lowest fat level. These results are in agreement with other studies (Partridge et al., 1986; Santomá et al., 1987) showing that an increase on fat level over 2-3% led to a decrease on feed intake and an improvement on feed efficiency. In this sense, Santomá et al., (1987) did not find significant differences between diets including lard or lecithin, either 3% or 6% inclusion level, being mortality on average 3.5%.

**Table 2:** Effect of dietary fat source and fat inclusion level on rabbit performance and mortality from 34 to 63 days of age (5 animals/cage).

Fat source <sup>1</sup>	SBO		SLO		L		Fat Source			Fat Level		rsd <sup>2</sup>	P <sub>s</sub>	P <sub>l</sub>	P <sub>s x l</sub>
	1.5	4.0	1.5	4.0	1.5	4.0	SBO	SLO	L	1.5	4.0				
n	20	20	20	20	20	20	40	40	40	60	60				
BW 34 d, g	724	734	731	733	733	733	729	732	733	730	733	-	-	-	-
BW 63 d, g	1944	1875	1925	1912	1967	1931	1910	1919	1949	1946	1906	114	0.28	0.063	0.55
DWG, g/d	44.9	42.4	44.2	43.7	45.8	44.4	43.6	44.0	45.1	45.0	43.5	4.25	0.28	0.063	0.55
DFI, g/d	108	102	109	104	114	106	105 <sup>b</sup>	106 <sup>ab</sup>	110 <sup>a</sup>	110	104	8.94	<b>0.036</b>	<b>0.0003</b>	0.72
FCR	2.42	2.40	2.48	2.39	2.49	2.39	2.41	2.43	2.44	2.46	2.39	0.116	0.58	<b>0.001</b>	0.22
Mortality, %	12.1 <sup>a</sup>	7.96 <sup>ab</sup>	1.01 <sup>b</sup>	14.0 <sup>a</sup>	2.96 <sup>b</sup>	4.97 <sup>b</sup>	10.0	7.49	3.97	5.36	8.97	12.0	0.067	0.16	<b>0.006</b>

<sup>1</sup>SBO: Soybean Oil; SLO: Soya Lecithin oil; L: Lard <sup>2</sup>rsd: residual standard deviation.

BW: body weight; DWG: daily weight gain; DFI: daily feed intake; FCR: feed conversion rate.

Experimental unit is the cage (5 rabbits per cage). Means in the same row with different letters show significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) among treatments.

P<sub>s</sub>: probability of the source; P<sub>l</sub>: probability of the level; P<sub>s x l</sub>: probability of the interaction source x level.

Differences in whole body and carcass composition among animals fed different fat sources, inclusion levels and their interaction were not detected. Mean values of whole body composition were 68.7±1.34%, 51.1±1.79%DM, 10.30±0.66%DM, 32.5±3.16%DM and 2397±73.0 Kcal/100 g DM for water, protein, ash, fat and energy respectively. Animals carcass water, protein, ash, fat and energy average contents were 64.6±1.38%, 57.9±2.14%DM, 13.4±1.67%DM, 31.1±2.63%DM and 2376±111 Kcal/100 g DM.

An increase of the fat sources addition tended to decrease digestible nitrogen intake (DNi) (1.83 vs. 1.92 g/d;  $P = 0.0678$ ) (Table 3). As the nitrogen retained (NR) in the carcass was similar for both levels (0.708 g/d) the overall nitrogen retention efficiency (NRE) increased with the highest level of fat (37.8 vs. 64.9 %;  $P < 0.0001$ ). This result agrees with Fernández and Fraga (1996b) that also observed an improvement in NRE with an increase of 3% dietary fat content. Due to the same DE ingestion and retention, energy retention efficiency (ERE) was not affected by treatments (18.8%), despite animals excreted less energy in the faeces when the dietary fat content was higher, due to the higher, but not significant, energy retention in the carcass.

Current values were similar than those obtained using BIA by Delgado et al. (2015) (36.6% and 18.6% of NRE and ERE respectively). The values of nitrogen excretion (2.00 g/d of N retained in skin and organs, and excreted in faeces and urine) and energy excretion (341 Kcal/d retained in skin and organs, and excreted in faeces and urine and heat production) were similar than those obtained by these authors (2.01 g/d and 327 Kcal/d of nitrogen and energy excreted, respectively). Likewise, Crespo et al. (2015) also observed similar values of excretion (2.06 g/d and 382 Kcal/d of nitrogen and energy excreted, respectively) in animals fed ad libitum. As the protein content among diets was similar, independently of the level of fat, the intake of digestible protein of those animals were lower. When

animals have a lack, or not an excess of nutrients are more efficient to nutrient absorption and retention (Falcão e Cunha et al., 2004), as it was observed in this study for NRE, and the lower nitrogen excreta skin and organs, faeces and urine.

**Table 3.** Effect of dietary fat source and fat inclusion level on nitrogen and energy balance and excretion from 34 to 63 days of age (experiment 1) (n=10).

n	Fat source			Fat level		rsd <sup>3</sup>	P <sub>s</sub>	P <sub>l</sub>	P <sub>s x l</sub>
	SBO	SLO	L	1.5	4.0				
	20	20	20	30	30				
<b>Nitrogen Balance<sup>2</sup></b>									
DNi <sup>2</sup> , g/d	1.84	1.89	1.89	1.92	1.83	0.255	0.678	0.0678	0.148
NR, g/d	0.673	0.674	0.694	0.671	0.690	0.109	0.643	0.369	0.587
NRE, %	36.5	35.8	36.7	34.9	37.8	0.032	0.453	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	0.105
<b>Nitrogen Excretion, g/d</b>									
Skin and organs	0.437	0.426	0.440	0.431	0.437	0.060	0.619	0.619	0.174
Faeces	0.804	0.844	0.828	0.868	0.782	0.110	0.321	<b>0.0001</b>	0.122
Urine	0.736	0.788	0.762	0.822	0.702	0.144	0.326	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	0.109
<b>Energy Balance</b>									
DEi, Kcal/d	305	310	311	301	316	40.6	0.828	0.150	0.105
RE, Kcal/d	57.4	58.2	59.6	57.3	59.6	11.4	0.708	0.294	0.234
ERE, %	18.7	18.6	19.1	18.9	18.7	0.020	0.586	0.536	0.691
<b>Energy Excretion, Kcal/d</b>									
Skin and organs	39.7	39.2	40.8	39.4	40.3	7.86	0.651	0.560	0.205
Faeces	145	150	152	156	142	19.8	0.343	<b>0.0004</b>	0.116
Urine+heat	208	212	211	204	216	26.3	0.810	<b>0.0168</b>	0.205

<sup>1</sup>SBO: Soybean Oil; SLO: Soya Lecithin oil; L: Lard.

<sup>2</sup>DNi (g/d): Digestible Nitrogen Intake. NR (g/d): g Nitrogen retained on the carcass; NRE: Nitrogen Retention Efficiency; Nitrogen excreted in skin and organs (g/d): (g N retained in whole body -g N retained on the carcass); Nitrogen excreted in faeces (g/d): (N total intake -DNi. Nitrogen excreted as urine (g/d): (DNi - g N retained on carcass - N excreted on skin and organs); DEi (Kcal/d): Digestible Energy Intake. RE (Kcal/d): Retained Energy in the carcass; ERE: Energy Retention Efficiency; Energy excreted in skin and organs (MJ): (GE retained in the whole body - GE retained in the carcass); Energy excreted in faeces (MJ): (GEi -DEi). Energy excreted as Urine+heat production (MJ): (DEi - GE retained in carcass - GE excreted in skin and organs).

<sup>3</sup>rsd= residual standard deviation. P<sub>s</sub>: probability of the source; P<sub>l</sub>: probability of the level; P<sub>s x l</sub>: probability of the interaction source x level.

## CONCLUSIONS

From the results of this work it can be concluded that: 1) the fat inclusion level exerts an important effect on protein retention efficiency and rabbit performance, due to the lower feed intake and subsequent better conversion ratio of animals fed with the highest level of fat; 2) Lard can be considered as alternative source to soybean oil due to a decrease of mortality without any impairing performance; and 3) Whole body and carcass composition are not affected by the fat source or level.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank to the personnel of Trouw Nutrition Poultry Research Centre and the Animals Science of the Universidad Politécnica de Madrid.

## REFERENCES

- Calder, P.C. 2007. Immunomodulation by omega-3 fatty acids. *Prostag. Leukotr. Ess.* 77: 327-335.
- Calder, P.C. 2008. The relationship between the fatty acid composition of immune cells and their function. *Prostag. Leukotr. Ess.* 79: 101-108.
- Carabaño R., I. Badiola, S. Chamorro, J. García, A.I. García-Ruiz, P. García-Rebollar, M.S. Gómez Conde, I. Gutiérrez, N. Nicodemus, M.J. Villamide and J.C. de Blas. 2008. Review. New trends in rabbit feeding: influence of nutrition on intestinal health. *Spanish J. Agric. Res.* 6 (special issue): 1-11.
- Casado C., V.J. Moya, J.J. Pascual, E. Blas and C. Cervera. 2013. Dietary fatty acid profile: effects on caecal fermentation and performance of young and fattening rabbits. *World Rabbit Sci.* 21: 235-242.
- Crespo R., C. Alfonso, A. Saiz, M. Marco, A.I. García-Ruiz and N. Nicodemus. 2013. Efecto de la suplementación de enzimas sobre la composición de la canal y la excreción de nitrógeno en conejos en crecimiento. In: XXXVIII Symp. Cuni. ASESCU. Zamora, España, pp: 131-135.

- Crespo R., C. Alfonso, A. Saiz, M. Marco, A.I. García-Ruiz and N. Nicodemus. 2015. Composición química de la canal y retención de nutrientes en conejos alimentados mediante diferentes estrategias de restricción. In: XL Symp. Cuni. ASESCU. Zamora, España, pp: 50-55.
- Delgado R., N. Nicodemus, R. Abad., D. Menoyo, R. Carabaño and J. García. 2015. Efecto del nivel de fibra soluble y de la relación omega-6/omega-3 sobre los balances de nitrógeno y energía de conejos en cebo. In: AIDA, XVI Jor. Prod. Anim. Zaragoza. España. I: 287-289.
- Falcão e Cunha L., H. Peres, J.P.B. Freire and L. Castro-Solla. 2004. Effects of alfalfa, wheat bran or beet pulp, with or without sunflower oil, on caecal fermentation and on digestibility in the rabbit. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 117: 131-149.
- Fernández C., A. Cobos and M.J. Fraga. 1994. The effect of fat inclusion on diet digestibility in growing rabbits. *J. Anim. Sci.* 72:1508-1515.
- Fernández C. and M.J. Fraga. 1996b. The effect of dietary fat inclusion on growth, carcass characteristics, and chemical composition of rabbits. *J. Anim. Sci.* 74: 2088-2094.
- Maertens L. 1998. Fat in rabbit nutrition: a review. *World Rabbit Sci.* 6(3-4): 341-348.
- Maertens L., J.M. Aerts and D. De Branbender. 2005. Effet d'un aliment riche en acides gras omega-3 sur les performances et la composition du lait des lapines et la viabilité de leur descendance. 11èmes Journ. Rech. Cunicole., París, France. p: 205-208.
- Parigi Bini, R. G.M. Chiericato and D. Lanari, 1974. Influence of level of fat (beef tallow) on diet digestibility and energy utilisation by growing rabbits. *Riv. Zootech. Vet.* 3: 193-202.
- Partridge G.G, M. Findlay and R.A. Fordyce. 1986. Fat supplementation of diets for growing rabbits. *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.* 16:109-117.
- Saiz A., A.I. García-Ruiz, E. Martín, A. Fernández and N. Nicodemus N. 2013. Aplicación de la técnica de Impedancia Bioeléctrica al estudio de la composición química de la canal de conejos de 35 a 63 días de edad. 38 Symposium de Cunicultura de ASESCU. Zamora, Spain: 162-165.
- Saiz A., N. Nicodemus, D. Abelleira, A. Fernández and A.I. García-Ruiz. 2011. Estudio de la composición corporal en conejos de 25 a 77 días de edad y aplicación de la técnica de impedancia bioeléctrica (BIA). 36 Symposium de Cunicultura de ASESCU. Peñíscola, Spain: 89-91.
- Santomá G, J.C.de Blas, R.M. Carabaño and M.J. Fraga. 1987. The effects of different fats and their inclusion level in diets for growing rabbits. *Anim. Prod.* 45:291-300.
- Skrivanová E., M. Marounek, G. Dlouhá and J. Kanka. 2005. Susceptibility of *Clostridium perfringens* to C-C fatty acids. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 41:77-81.
- Xiccato, G. 2010. Fat Digestion. In: De Blas, C. Wiseman, J. (eds). *The Nutrition of the Rabbit*. CAB International. Wallingford, UK, pp. 56-65.
- Xiccato, G., M.E. Cossu, A. Trocino and P.I. Queaque. 1998. Influence du rapport amidon/fibre et de l'addition de graisse en post-sevrage sur la digestion, les performances zootechniques et la qualité bouchère du lapin. In: Proc. 7èmes Journ. Rech. Cunicole, Paris, France. pp: 159-162.